Name\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_-

Karen purchased AT&T Uverse and is experiencing many difficulties. Karen created a website called Uverse Sucks, with the url [cyberdesigns.com/attuverse\_sux](http://www.karenattuversesux.com). The domain is owned by Karen, who is a web designer. On the site, she posted videos demonstrating the short comings of Uverse, and invited others to post their comments and videos. The top of the site says “A,T&T UVerse Sucks” in large letters. The site quickly became popular and received thousands of hits and comments. Karen received an email from AT&T saying that she violated the federal trademark law for using the words A,T &T Uverse on her site and in the url. You are Karen’s attorney, and feel she has a good chance at winning a motion for summary judgment.

1. **Using United States Code Service (Black set published by Lexis) Find the federal statute dealing with remedies for trademark infringement**
2. **For this statute you found in question one, what is a case note number for cases dealing with summary judgment in trademark cases dealing with the Internet.**
3. **Find the federal statute which defines the term “use in commerce” in connection with trademark cases.**
4. **Find an ALR article dealing with infringement actions under the Lanham Act in the Internet Context.**
5. **Find an encyclopedia section that sets out the elements of trademark dilution, ie. what constitutes trademark under federal antidilution laws.**
6. **Find a case on point, preferably one holding that the use of a trademark in a url or domain name does not dilute the trademark.**